

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
FACTS	1
ARGUMENT	2
A. Discovery Is Permissible to Determine the Availability of the Innocence Gateway	2
B. Christian Has Exhausted the Issues Before the Court	3
C. Discovery Is Appropriate Whenever It Would Aid in Determining Entitlement to Relief	5
D. Christian Need Not Repeatedly Ask the State for DNA Testing	6
CONCLUSION	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Baldwin v. Reese, 541 U.S. 27 (2004)	3, 5
Bracy v. Gramley, 520 U.S. 899 (1997)	6
Briones v. State, 74 Haw. 442, 848 P.2d 966 (Haw. 1993)	4
Cooper v. Woodford, 358 F.3d 1117 (9 th Cir. 2004)	3
Costa v. Cook, 2006 WL 1737837 (June 20, 2006)	4
Osborne v. District Attorney's Office, 423 F.3d 1050 (9 th Cir. 2005)	6-7
Roberts v. LaVallee, 389 U.S. 40 (1967)	7
Schlup v. Delo, 513 U.S. 298 (1995)	3
Vasquez v. Hillery, 474 U.S. 254 (1986)	6

STATUTE

28 U.S.C. § 2254	1
------------------------	---